IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2713 OF 2005
IN
APPEAL NO.789 OF 2005
IN
SUIT NO.1738 OF 1979

Omprakash Madanlal Pandya .. Appellant
V/s
Shri.Vallabh Glass Works Ltd. & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.P.R.Dhopatkar i/by Mr.S.P.Pala for the Appellant.
Ms.Neeta Solanki i/by Mr.Kirsn Jain for Respondent Nos.2B and 3A.

CORAM: DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, JJ.

DATE : 28.10.2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Appellant and the learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.2B and 3A. Perused our order dated 26.9.2005. The learned Advocate Ms.Neeta Solanki has pointed out that in the aforesaid order dated 26.9.2005 there is an error in the appearance, in the sense, her appearance has been shown for all the Respondents, whereas it should be only for Respondent Nos.2B and 3A. Accordingly, our order dated 26.9.2005 is corrected to the effect that, in the said order the appearance of an Advocate Ms.Neeta Sonalki i/by Kiran Jain & Company should read only for Respondent Nos.2B & 3A and not for other Respondents. The said order dated 26.9.2005 is also corrected to the effect that it should also indicate that nobody appeared for other Respondents including Respondent No.4 - the Official Liquidator, High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad.

2. In the said order dated 26.9.2005 there is also an error in mentioning the year. It is pointed out by the

learned Advocate that we have mentioned "Perused the order dated 18th March, 2005". According to the learned Advocate, instead of 18th March, 2005 the date should be 18th March, 2002. Accordingly, the said order dated 26.9.2005 is corrected to the effect that the expression "18th March, 2005" appearing in the said order should read as "18th March, 2002". The order dated 26.9.2005 stands corrected accordingly.

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)